### Guide to Cantor's Theorem





Here's the statement of Cantor's theorem that we saw in our first lecture. It says that every set is strictly smaller than its power set.



Before we can do that, though, we need to address one big unresolved question.



In Wednesday's lecture, we talked about what it means for two sets to have equal cardinality and for two sets to not have equal cardinality.



Specifically, we said that |S| = |T| if there is a bijection  $f : S \rightarrow T$ .



If we want to prove that two sets do have the same cardinality, we can do so by finding a bijection between them.



If we want to prove that two sets have different cardinalities, we need to show that there's no possible bijection between them.

However, take a look at the statement of Cantor's theorem.

In this statement, we're saying that |S| is strictly smaller than |p(S)|, but we don't yet have a definition for what that means!

In other words, we can't even start proving this statement yet, since we never said what it means for one set to be strictly smaller than one another!

So, before we take a stab at proving Cantor's theorem, let's first go and make sure we have a definition for how to rank set cardinalities.

If you'll remember, when first talked about equal cardinalities way back in our first lecture, we said that two sets have the same cardinality if we can pair off the elements of the sets with no elements uncovered.







Once we had the language of bijections, we were able to give a more formal definition of what "pairing things off" means.













It's also surjective, since any element of the codomain (here, T) will be paired with something in S.









Could we develop some sort of analogous definition for what it means for one set to be "at least as big" as another?











If we try pairing off the elements of S and the elements of  $T_{\dots}$ 





...we can see that there's some way to do it that uses up everything from S.





There's actually a bunch of ways to do this. Here's a different one ...









This gives us a nice intuition for what it means for one set to have at least as many elements as the other.





Specifically, we'll say that T is at least as big as S if there's a way to pair the elements that uses up everything in S, even if it doesn't use up everything in T.





Before moving on, let's make sure that this definition works in some other cases. If it doesn't, then chances are it's not a very good definition!



# $\mathbb{N}$ 0 1 2 3 ... $\mathbb{Z}$ ... -3 -2 -1 0 1 2 3 ...



## $\mathbb{N}$ 0 1 2 3 ... $\mathbb{Z}$ ... -3 -2 -1 0 1 2 3 ...

Can we pair off the naturals and the integers so that every natural number is paired off with some integer?






In lecture, we proved that |N| = |Z|. That means that we could in principle pair <u>all</u> the elements of both sets off, though it's a lot easier to do that if we don't need to pair off all the integers.





So far, it seems like this is a pretty good definition to work with!







When we talked about equal cardinalities, we started with a definition like this one, then revised it by talking about the pairing in terms of a certain type of function between the two sets.











Let's	look at	the pairing here.	we have	right



























So it seems like our idea of "a pairing of elements that uses everything from the first set, but not necessarily the second" can be expressed as "an injective function from the first set to the second!"









### $|S| \leq |T|$ if there is an *injective* function $f: S \rightarrow T$

Here's the official definition of what it means for one set to be at least as large as another.

### $|S| \leq |T|$ if there is an *injective* function $f: S \rightarrow T$

You might be wondering... why is this the definition of "less than or equal to" for sets rather than "strictly less than?"

#### $|S| \leq |T|$ if there is an *injective* function $f: S \rightarrow T$





### $|S| \le |T|$ if there is an *injective* function $f: S \to T$

Here's two sets we've seen from before, along with a bijection between them.





## $|S| \leq |T|$ if there is an *injective* function $f: S \to T$





### $|S| \le |T|$ if there is an *injective* function $f: S \to T$

At the same time, this function f is also an injection (remember, all bijections are also injective!)



### $|S| \le |T|$ if there is an *injective* function $f: S \to T$

So, according to our definition, this means that  $|S| \leq |T|$ . That's okay, though - it matches our intuition.



## $|S| \leq |T|$ if there is an *injective* function $f: S \to T$

That's why we use this as a definition of  $\leq$  over cardinalities – it's to be consistent with our definition of = over cardinalities.





# If S is a set, then $|S| < |\rho(S)|$



We just found a way to define the ≤ relation over set cardinalities, but we still haven't said what the < relation over cardinalities is!



In other words, we still can't prove this because we still don't have a definition:



So why did we go down what seems like it was probably a totally random detour?



The reason is that we now have formal definitions for  $|S| \leq |T|$  and |S| = |T|.

Can we use those definitions to provide a nice definition of what |S| < |T| means?













We can also see that  $|S| \neq |T|$ , since no matter how hard we try, we'll never find a bijection between the two sets.









...and intuitively it seems like the set S is strictly smaller than the set  $T_{\bullet}$ 








#### |S| < |T| if $|S| \le |T|$ and $|S| \ne |T|$

In fact, here's how we're going to define the less-than relation over set cardinalities.

#### |S| < |T| if $|S| \le |T|$ and $|S| \ne |T|$

This seems, intuitively, like it's a pretty nice definition. It makes sense given our understanding of what ≤ and = are supposed to mean and how they relate to <.



#### |S| < |T| if $|S| \le |T|$ and $|S| \ne |T|$

But remember that the ≤ and ≠ relations over cardinalities are defined in terms of functions between sets. Let's see what this definition says when we expand this out a bit.







...and this part says that there aren't any bijective functions from S to T.



So, if we want to prove that one set is strictly smaller than one another, we should proceed in two parts.

















#### If S is a set, then $|S| < |\wp(S)|$



#### If S is a set, then $|S| < |\wp(S)|$









Well, when confronted with a new problem to solve, it never hurts to draw some pictures and try to figure out what it is that we need to do.











The power set of *S*. The set of all subsets of *S*.







































That means that we should try to look for some kind of pattern we can use that will always let us find an injection that works.












**Proof:** Let *S* be any set and consider the function  $f: S \to \wp(S)$  defined as  $f(x) = \{x\}$ . To see that this is a valid function from *S* to  $\wp(S)$ , note that for any  $x \in S$ , we have  $\{x\} \subseteq S$ . Therefore,  $\{x\} \in \wp(S)$  for any  $x \in S$ , so *f* is a legal function from *S* to  $\wp(S)$ .

Let's now prove that f is injective. Consider any  $x_1, x_2 \in S$  where  $f(x_1) = f(x_2)$ . We'll prove that  $x_1 = x_2$ . Because  $f(x_1) = f(x_2)$ , we have  $\{x_1\} = \{x_2\}$ . Since two sets are equal if and only if their elements are the same, this means that  $x_1 = x_2$ , as required.

> Here's one possible proof of this result. It follows the general pattern for proving that a function is injective, just using this particular choice of f.

**Proof:** Let *S* be any set and consider the function  $f: S \to \wp(S)$  defined as  $f(x) = \{x\}$ . To see that this is a valid function from *S* to  $\wp(S)$ , note that for any  $x \in S$ , we have  $\{x\} \subseteq S$ . Therefore,  $\{x\} \in \wp(S)$  for any  $x \in S$ , so *f* is a legal function from *S* to  $\wp(S)$ .

Let's now prove that f is injective. Consider any  $x_1, x_2 \in S$  where  $f(x_1) = f(x_2)$ . We'll prove that  $x_1 = x_2$ . Because  $f(x_1) = f(x_2)$ , we have  $\{x_1\} = \{x_2\}$ . Since two sets are equal if and only if their elements are the same, this means that  $x_1 = x_2$ , as required.





### If S is a set, then $|S| < |\wp(S)|$





As we saw earlier, this means that we need to prove these two statements.







Now, we need to prove this part... and that's where we're going to use a diagonal argument.



The proof that we're going to do here is, essentially, a more rigorous version of the one we did on the first day of class.



Let's start off by, briefly, reviewing how that proof works.

 $X_0$  $X_1$  $X_2$  $X_3$  $X_4$ Let's imagine that we have a set S  $X_5$ and that its elements are  $x_0$ ,  $x_1$ ,  $x_2$ , etc. . . .

 $X_0$  $X_1$  $X_2$  $X_3$  $X_4$  $X_5$ 

. . .

Generally speaking, not all sets are going to look like this. Some might not have infinitely many elements. Others, like  $\mathbb{R}$ , have <u>too many</u> elements to assign a number to each one!

 $X_0$  $X_1$  $X_2$  $X_3$  $X_4$ But that's okay for now. This is just a visual intuition, and we'll address that when we try to make everything super  $X_5$ formal and airtight. . . .

 $X_0$  $X_1$  $X_2$  $X_3$  $X_4$ The result we're going to prove involves showing that no choice of a function f $X_5$ from s to p(s) is a bijection. . . .

 $X_0$  $X_1$  $X_2$  $X_3$  $X_4$ Just to explore things a bit, let's choose some random function f and  $X_5$ see if we notice anything about it. . . .

$$x_{0} \longrightarrow \{ x_{0}, x_{2}, x_{4}, \dots \}$$

$$x_{1} \longrightarrow \{ x_{0}, x_{3}, x_{4}, \dots \}$$

$$x_{2} \longrightarrow \{ x_{4}, \dots \}$$

$$x_{3} \longrightarrow \{ x_{1}, x_{3}, x_{4}, \dots \}$$

$$x_{4} \longrightarrow \{ x_{0}, x_{5}, \dots \}$$

$$x_{5} \longrightarrow \{ x_{0}, x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}, x_{5}, \dots \}$$
...
$$ur$$

$$ultimate goal is to prove that this function is not bijective.$$

$$\begin{aligned} x_{0} &\longrightarrow \{ x_{0}, x_{2}, x_{4}, \dots \} \\ x_{1} &\longrightarrow \{ x_{0}, x_{3}, x_{4}, \dots \} \\ x_{2} &\longrightarrow \{ x_{4}, \dots \} \\ x_{3} &\longrightarrow \{ x_{1}, x_{3}, x_{4}, \dots \} \\ x_{4} &\longrightarrow \{ x_{0}, x_{5}, \dots \} \\ x_{5} &\longrightarrow \{ x_{0}, x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}, x_{5}, \dots \} \\ \cdots \\ \hline To \ do \ so, \ we're \ going \ to \ have \ to \ find \\ a \ way \ to \ show \ that \ there's \ some \ subset \\ of \ s \ that \ isn't \ covered \ by \ our \ function. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} x_{0} &\longrightarrow \{ x_{0}, x_{2}, x_{4}, \dots \} \\ x_{1} &\longrightarrow \{ x_{0}, x_{3}, x_{4}, \dots \} \\ x_{2} &\longrightarrow \{ x_{4}, \dots \} \\ x_{3} &\longrightarrow \{ x_{1}, x_{3}, x_{4}, \dots \} \\ x_{4} &\longrightarrow \{ x_{0}, x_{5}, \dots \} \\ x_{5} &\longrightarrow \{ x_{0}, x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}, x_{5}, \dots \} \\ \cdots \\ \hline To \ do \ so, \ we're \ going \ to \ use \ a \ trick \\ invented \ by \ Georg \ Cantor, \ which \ will \\ require \ us \ to \ redraw \ this \ picture \ a \ bit. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{array}{c} \hline x_0 & x_1 & x_2 & x_3 & x_4 & x_5 & \dots \\ \hline x_0 \longrightarrow \{ x_0, & x_2, & x_4, & \dots \} \\ \hline x_1 \longrightarrow \{ x_0, & x_3, & x_4, & \dots \} \\ \hline x_2 \longrightarrow \{ & x_0, & x_3, & x_4, & \dots \} \\ \hline x_3 \longrightarrow \{ & x_1, & x_3, & x_4, & \dots \} \\ \hline x_4 \longrightarrow \{ x_0, & x_1, & x_2, & x_3, & x_4, & x_5, & \dots \} \\ \hline x_5 \longrightarrow \{ x_0, & x_1, & x_2, & x_3, & x_4, & x_5, & \dots \} \\ \hline \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c} & & & \\$$



. . .

Cantor's insight - which is the real trick behind the proof - is the following.










































$$\begin{array}{c} x_{0} \quad x_{1} \quad x_{2} \quad x_{3} \quad x_{4} \quad x_{5} \quad \dots \\ x_{0} \longrightarrow \{ x_{0}, \qquad x_{2}, \qquad x_{4}, \qquad \dots \} \\ x_{1} \longrightarrow \{ x_{0}, \qquad x_{2}, \qquad x_{4}, \qquad \dots \} \\ x_{2} \longrightarrow \{ \qquad x_{0}, \qquad x_{3}, x_{4}, \qquad \dots \} \\ x_{2} \longrightarrow \{ \qquad x_{1}, \qquad x_{3}, x_{4}, \qquad \dots \} \\ x_{3} \longrightarrow \{ \qquad x_{1}, \qquad x_{3}, x_{4}, \qquad \dots \} \\ x_{4} \longrightarrow \{ x_{0}, \qquad x_{5}, \qquad \dots \} \\ x_{5} \longrightarrow \{ x_{0}, \qquad x_{1}, \qquad x_{2}, \qquad x_{3}, \qquad x_{4}, \qquad x_{5}, \qquad \dots \} \\ x_{5} \longrightarrow \{ x_{1}, \qquad x_{2}, \qquad x_{4}, \qquad \dots \} \\ x_{5} \longrightarrow \{ x_{1}, \qquad x_{2}, \qquad x_{4}, \qquad \dots \} \\ x_{6} \longrightarrow \{ x_{1}, \qquad x_{2}, \qquad x_{4}, \qquad \dots \} \\ x_{1} \longrightarrow \{ x_{1}, \qquad x_{2}, \qquad x_{4}, \qquad \dots \} \\ x_{1} \longrightarrow \{ x_{1}, \qquad x_{2}, \qquad x_{4}, \qquad \dots \} \\ x_{1} \longrightarrow \{ x_{1}, \qquad x_{2}, \qquad x_{4}, \qquad \dots \} \\ x_{1} \longrightarrow \{ x_{1}, \qquad x_{2}, \qquad x_{4}, \qquad \dots \} \\ x_{1} \longrightarrow \{ x_{1}, \qquad x_{2}, \qquad x_{4}, \qquad \dots \} \\ x_{1} \longrightarrow \{ x_{1}, \qquad x_{2}, \qquad x_{4}, \qquad \dots \} \\ x_{1} \longrightarrow \{ x_{1}, \qquad x_{2}, \qquad x_{4}, \qquad \dots \} \\ x_{1} \longrightarrow \{ x_{1}, \qquad x_{2}, \qquad x_{4}, \qquad \dots \} \\ x_{1} \longrightarrow \{ x_{1}, \qquad x_{2}, \qquad x_{4}, \qquad \dots \} \\ x_{1} \longrightarrow \{ x_{1}, \qquad x_{2}, \qquad x_{4}, \qquad \dots \} \\ x_{2} \longrightarrow \{ x_{1}, \qquad x_{2}, \qquad x_{4}, \qquad \dots \} \\ x_{2} \longrightarrow \{ x_{1}, \qquad x_{2}, \qquad x_{4}, \qquad \dots \} \\ x_{2} \longrightarrow \{ x_{1}, \qquad x_{2}, \qquad x_{4}, \qquad \dots \} \\ x_{2} \longrightarrow \{ x_{1}, \qquad x_{2}, \qquad x_{4}, \qquad \dots \} \\ x_{2} \longrightarrow \{ x_{2}, \qquad x_{3}, \qquad x_{4}, \qquad x_{5}, \qquad \dots \} \\ x_{2} \longrightarrow \{ x_{2}, \qquad x_{3}, \qquad x_{4}, \qquad x_{5}, \qquad \dots \} \\ x_{3} \longrightarrow \{ x_{4}, \qquad x_{5}, \qquad \dots \} \\ x_{4} \longrightarrow \{ x_{4}, \qquad x_{5}, \qquad x_{5}, \qquad \dots \} \\ x_{4} \longrightarrow \{ x_{4}, \qquad x_{5}, \qquad x_{5}, \qquad \dots \} \\ x_{5} \longrightarrow \{ x_{4}, \qquad x_{5}, \qquad \dots \} \\ x_{5} \longrightarrow \{ x_{4}, \qquad x_{5}, \qquad x_{5}, \qquad \dots \} \\ x_{6} \longrightarrow \{ x_{1}, \qquad x_{2}, \qquad x_{4}, \qquad \dots \} \\ x_{6} \longrightarrow \{ x_{1}, \qquad x_{5}, \qquad \dots \} \\ x_{6} \longrightarrow \{ x_{1}, \qquad x_{5}, \qquad \dots \} \\ x_{1} \longrightarrow \{ x_{1}, \qquad x_{5}, \qquad \dots \} \\ x_{1} \longrightarrow \{ x_{1}, \qquad x_{5}, \qquad x_{5}, \qquad \dots \} \\ x_{1} \longrightarrow \{ x_{1}, \qquad x_{5}, \qquad \dots \} \\ x_{1} \longrightarrow \{ x_{1}, \qquad x_{5}, \qquad \dots \} \\ x_{1} \longrightarrow \{ x_{1}, \qquad x_{5}, \qquad \dots \} \\ x_{1} \longrightarrow \{ x_{1}, \qquad x_{5}, \qquad \dots \} \\ x_{1} \longrightarrow \{ x_{1}, \qquad x_{5}, \qquad \dots \} \\ x_{1} \longrightarrow \{ x_{1}, \qquad x_{1}, \qquad x_{1}, \qquad x_{1}, \qquad x_{2}, \qquad x_{3}, \qquad x_{1}, \qquad x_{1}, \qquad x_{2}, \qquad x_{3}, \qquad x_{1}, \qquad x_{2},$$












































































If  $x \notin f(x)$ , then  $x \in D$ . If  $x \in f(x)$ , then  $x \notin D$ .  $D = \{ x \in S \mid x \notin f(x) \}$ 

The whole point of that visual exercise was to figure out a mathematical way of describing that diagonal set.

If  $x \notin f(x)$ , then  $x \in D$ . If  $x \in f(x)$ , then  $x \notin D$ .  $D = \{ x \in S \mid x \notin f(x) \}$ 



If  $x \notin f(x)$ , then  $x \in D$ . If  $x \in f(x)$ , then  $x \notin D$ .  $D = \{ x \in S \mid x \notin f(x) \}$ 

In fact, just knowing this particular choice of D allows us to write a formal proof that |S| ≠ |\$(S)|!







**Proof:** Let S be an arbitrary set. We will prove that  $|S| \neq |\wp(S)|$  by showing that there are no bijections from S to  $\wp(S)$ .

This proof starts off, as many proofs do, by just saying what we're going to be doing.

**Proof:** Let S be an arbitrary set. We will prove that  $|S| \neq |\wp(S)|$  by showing that there are no bijections from S to  $\wp(S)$ .



**Proof:** Let S be an arbitrary set. We will prove that  $|S| \neq |\wp(S)|$  by showing that there are no bijections from S to  $\wp(S)$ . To do so, choose an arbitrary function  $f: S \rightarrow \wp(S)$ . We will prove that f is not surjective.

This is where we lay out the specific strategy we're going to use. There's a lot of ways to prove that there aren't any bijections, and we're going to do it by (as you saw) showing that no function from S to p(S) can be a bijection.

**Proof:** Let S be an arbitrary set. We will prove that  $|S| \neq |\wp(S)|$  by showing that there are no bijections from S to  $\wp(S)$ . To do so, choose an arbitrary function  $f: S \rightarrow \wp(S)$ . We will prove that f is not surjective.

Starting with *f*, we define the set

$$D = \{ x \in S \mid x \notin f(x) \}.$$
(1)

This is where we lay out the key idea behind the proof – we can start with this arbitrary function f and produce a set D that f doesn't map anything to.

**Proof:** Let S be an arbitrary set. We will prove that  $|S| \neq |\wp(S)|$  by showing that there are no bijections from S to  $\wp(S)$ . To do so, choose an arbitrary function  $f: S \rightarrow \wp(S)$ . We will prove that f is not surjective.

Starting with *f*, we define the set

$$D = \{ x \in S \mid x \notin f(x) \}.$$
(1)

We worked really hard to come up with this set D, and now we get to see just how cool a set it is.

**Proof:** Let S be an arbitrary set. We will prove that  $|S| \neq |\wp(S)|$  by showing that there are no bijections from S to  $\wp(S)$ . To do so, choose an arbitrary function  $f: S \rightarrow \wp(S)$ . We will prove that f is not surjective.

Starting with *f*, we define the set

$$D = \{ x \in S \mid x \notin f(x) \}.$$
(1)

We will show that there is no  $y \in S$  such that f(y) = D.

Ultimately, we're going to show that f isn't surjective. Here, we're saying how: we're going to show that nothing maps to D.

**Proof:** Let S be an arbitrary set. We will prove that  $|S| \neq |\wp(S)|$  by showing that there are no bijections from S to  $\wp(S)$ . To do so, choose an arbitrary function  $f: S \rightarrow \wp(S)$ . We will prove that f is not surjective.

Starting with *f*, we define the set

## $D = \{ x \in S \mid x \notin f(x) \}.$ (1)

We will show that there is no  $y \in S$  such that f(y) = D.

(I chose the name y here because the name x was taken earlier, and I didn't want to confuse the two. You'll see why in a second.)

**Proof:** Let S be an arbitrary set. We will prove that  $|S| \neq |\wp(S)|$  by showing that there are no bijections from S to  $\wp(S)$ . To do so, choose an arbitrary function  $f: S \rightarrow \wp(S)$ . We will prove that f is not surjective.

Starting with *f*, we define the set

$$D = \{ x \in S \mid x \notin f(x) \}.$$
(1)

We will show that there is no  $y \in S$  such that f(y) = D. To do so, we proceed by contradiction. Suppose that there is some  $y \in S$  such that f(y) = D.



**Proof:** Let S be an arbitrary set. We will prove that  $|S| \neq |\wp(S)|$  by showing that there are no bijections from S to  $\wp(S)$ . To do so, choose an arbitrary function  $f: S \rightarrow \wp(S)$ . We will prove that f is not surjective.

Starting with *f*, we define the set

$$D = \{ x \in S \mid x \notin f(x) \}.$$
(1)

We will show that there is no  $y \in S$  such that f(y) = D. To do so, we proceed by contradiction. Suppose that there is some  $y \in S$  such that f(y) = D.


**Proof:** Let S be an arbitrary set. We will prove that  $|S| \neq |\wp(S)|$  by showing that there are no bijections from S to  $\wp(S)$ . To do so, choose an arbitrary function  $f: S \rightarrow \wp(S)$ . We will prove that f is not surjective.

Starting with *f*, we define the set

$$D = \{ x \in S \mid x \notin f(x) \}.$$
(1)

We will show that there is no  $y \in S$  such that f(y) = D. To do so, we proceed by contradiction. Suppose that there is some  $y \in S$  such that f(y) = D.



**Proof:** Let S be an arbitrary set. We will prove that  $|S| \neq |\wp(S)|$  by showing that there are no bijections from S to  $\wp(S)$ . To do so, choose an arbitrary function  $f: S \rightarrow \wp(S)$ . We will prove that f is not surjective.

Starting with *f*, we define the set

$$D = \{ x \in S \mid x \notin f(x) \}.$$
(1)

We will show that there is no  $y \in S$  such that f(y) = D. To do so, we proceed by contradiction. Suppose that there is some  $y \in S$  such that f(y) = D. By the definition of D, we know that

$$y \in D \text{ iff } y \notin f(y).$$
 (2)

We're going to begin with this observation. Let's see where this comes from.

**Proof:** Let S be an arbitrary set. We will prove that  $|S| \neq |\wp(S)|$  by showing that there are no bijections from S to  $\wp(S)$ . To do so, choose an arbitrary function  $f: S \rightarrow \wp(S)$ . We will prove that f is not surjective.

Starting with *f*, we define the set

$$D = \{ x \in S \mid x \notin f(x) \}.$$
(1)



**Proof:** Let S be an arbitrary set. We will prove that  $|S| \neq |\wp(S)|$  by showing that there are no bijections from S to  $\wp(S)$ . To do so, choose an arbitrary function  $f: S \rightarrow \wp(S)$ . We will prove that f is not surjective.

Starting with *f*, we define the set

```
D = \{ x \in S \mid x \notin f(x) \}. (1)
```



**Proof:** Let S be an arbitrary set. We will prove that  $|S| \neq |\wp(S)|$  by showing that there are no bijections from S to  $\wp(S)$ . To do so, choose an arbitrary function  $f: S \rightarrow \wp(S)$ . We will prove that f is not surjective.

Starting with *f*, we define the set

```
D = \{ x \in S \mid x \notin f(x) \}. (1)
```



**Proof:** Let S be an arbitrary set. We will prove that  $|S| \neq |\wp(S)|$  by showing that there are no bijections from S to  $\wp(S)$ . To do so, choose an arbitrary function  $f: S \rightarrow \wp(S)$ . We will prove that f is not surjective.

Starting with *f*, we define the set

```
D = \{ x \in S \mid x \notin f(x) \}. (1)
```



**Proof:** Let S be an arbitrary set. We will prove that  $|S| \neq |\wp(S)|$  by showing that there are no bijections from S to  $\wp(S)$ . To do so, choose an arbitrary function  $f: S \rightarrow \wp(S)$ . We will prove that f is not surjective.

Starting with *f*, we define the set

$$D = \{ x \in S \mid x \notin f(x) \}.$$
(1)

We will show that there is no  $y \in S$  such that f(y) = D. To do so, we proceed by contradiction. Suppose that there is some  $y \in S$  such that f(y) = D. By the definition of D, we know that



Right now, nothing special seems to happen. Here's where things get interesting.

**Proof:** Let S be an arbitrary set. We will prove that  $|S| \neq |\wp(S)|$  by showing that there are no bijections from S to  $\wp(S)$ . To do so, choose an arbitrary function  $f: S \rightarrow \wp(S)$ . We will prove that f is not surjective.

Starting with *f*, we define the set

$$D = \{ x \in S \mid x \notin f(x) \}.$$
(1)

We will show that there is no  $y \in S$  such that f(y) = D. To do so, we proceed by contradiction. Suppose that there is some  $y \in S$  such that f(y) = D. By the definition of D, we know that

$$y \in D \text{ iff } y \notin f(y).$$
 (2)

By assumption, f(y) = D. Combined with (2), this tells us

$$y \in D \text{ iff } y \notin D.$$
 (3)

We specifically assumed that f(y) = D. That means we can rewrite statement (2) as shown here. If you're wondering why we can do this...

**Proof:** Let S be an arbitrary set. We will prove that  $|S| \neq |\wp(S)|$  by showing that there are no bijections from S to  $\wp(S)$ . To do so, choose an arbitrary function  $f: S \rightarrow \wp(S)$ . We will prove that f is not surjective.

Starting with f, we define the set

$$D = \{ x \in S \mid x \notin f(x) \}.$$
(1)

We will show that there is no  $y \in S$  such that f(y) = D. To do so, we proceed by contradiction. Suppose that there is some  $y \in S$  such that f(y) = D. By the definition of D, we know that

$$y \in D \text{ iff } y \notin f(y).$$
 (2)

By assumption, f(y) = D. Combined with (2), this tells us

 $y \in D \text{ iff } y \notin D. \tag{3}$ 

... notice that we're just substituting D for f(y) in the right-hand side of this statement.

**Proof:** Let S be an arbitrary set. We will prove that  $|S| \neq |\wp(S)|$  by showing that there are no bijections from S to  $\wp(S)$ . To do so, choose an arbitrary function  $f: S \rightarrow \wp(S)$ . We will prove that f is not surjective.

Starting with *f*, we define the set

$$D = \{ x \in S \mid x \notin f(x) \}.$$
(1)

We will show that there is no  $y \in S$  such that f(y) = D. To do so, we proceed by contradiction. Suppose that there is some  $y \in S$  such that f(y) = D. By the definition of D, we know that

$$y \in D \text{ iff } y \notin f(y).$$
 (2)

By assumption, f(y) = D. Combined with (2), this tells us

 $y \in D \text{ iff } y \notin D. \tag{3}$ 

And hey! Does this statement look, you know, kinda fishy?

**Proof:** Let S be an arbitrary set. We will prove that  $|S| \neq |\wp(S)|$  by showing that there are no bijections from S to  $\wp(S)$ . To do so, choose an arbitrary function  $f: S \rightarrow \wp(S)$ . We will prove that f is not surjective.

Starting with *f*, we define the set

$$D = \{ x \in S \mid x \notin f(x) \}.$$
(1)

We will show that there is no  $y \in S$  such that f(y) = D. To do so, we proceed by contradiction. Suppose that there is some  $y \in S$  such that f(y) = D. By the definition of D, we know that

$$y \in D \text{ iff } y \notin f(y).$$
 (2)

By assumption, f(y) = D. Combined with (2), this tells us

 $y \in D \text{ iff } y \notin D. \tag{3}$ 

It should! This says that a statement is true if and only if it's false... but that's impossible!

**Proof:** Let S be an arbitrary set. We will prove that  $|S| \neq |\wp(S)|$  by showing that there are no bijections from S to  $\wp(S)$ . To do so, choose an arbitrary function  $f: S \rightarrow \wp(S)$ . We will prove that f is not surjective.

Starting with *f*, we define the set

$$D = \{ x \in S \mid x \notin f(x) \}.$$
(1)

We will show that there is no  $y \in S$  such that f(y) = D. To do so, we proceed by contradiction. Suppose that there is some  $y \in S$  such that f(y) = D. By the definition of D, we know that

$$y \in D \text{ iff } y \notin f(y).$$
 (2)

By assumption, f(y) = D. Combined with (2), this tells us

$$y \in D \text{ iff } y \notin D.$$
 (3)

This is impossible.

Hey! That's what I just said.

Now we just need to bring it home.

**Proof:** Let S be an arbitrary set. We will prove that  $|S| \neq |\wp(S)|$  by showing that there are no bijections from S to  $\wp(S)$ . To do so, choose an arbitrary function  $f: S \rightarrow \wp(S)$ . We will prove that f is not surjective.

Starting with *f*, we define the set

$$D = \{ x \in S \mid x \notin f(x) \}.$$
(1)

We will show that there is no  $y \in S$  such that f(y) = D. To do so, we proceed by contradiction. Suppose that there is some  $y \in S$  such that f(y) = D. By the definition of D, we know that

$$y \in D \text{ iff } y \notin f(y).$$
 (2)

By assumption, f(y) = D. Combined with (2), this tells us

$$y \in D \text{ iff } y \notin D.$$
 (3)

This is impossible. We have reached a contradiction, so our assumption must have been wrong.

This contradiction means, as most do, that our initial assumption was wrong.

**Proof:** Let S be an arbitrary set. We will prove that  $|S| \neq |\wp(S)|$  by showing that there are no bijections from S to  $\wp(S)$ . To do so, choose an arbitrary function  $f: S \rightarrow \wp(S)$ . We will prove that f is not surjective.

Starting with *f*, we define the set

$$D = \{ x \in S \mid x \notin f(x) \}.$$
(1)

We will show that there is no  $y \in S$  such that f(y) = D. To do so, we proceed by contradiction. Suppose that there is some  $y \in S$  such that f(y) = D. By the definition of D, we know that

$$y \in D \text{ iff } y \notin f(y).$$
 (2)

By assumption, f(y) = D. Combined with (2), this tells us

$$y \in D \text{ iff } y \notin D. \tag{3}$$

This is impossible. We have reached a contradiction, so our assumption must have been wrong.

Scanning back in the proof, we can see that this is what we were assuming - that there was something that mapped to D.

**Proof:** Let S be an arbitrary set. We will prove that  $|S| \neq |\wp(S)|$  by showing that there are no bijections from S to  $\wp(S)$ . To do so, choose an arbitrary function  $f: S \rightarrow \wp(S)$ . We will prove that f is not surjective.

Starting with *f*, we define the set

$$D = \{ x \in S \mid x \notin f(x) \}.$$
(1)

We will show that there is no  $y \in S$  such that f(y) = D. To do so, we proceed by contradiction. Suppose that there is some  $y \in S$  such that f(y) = D. By the definition of D, we know that

$$y \in D \text{ iff } y \notin f(y).$$
 (2)

By assumption, f(y) = D. Combined with (2), this tells us

$$y \in D \text{ iff } y \notin D.$$
 (3)

This is impossible. We have reached a contradiction, so our assumption must have been wrong. Therefore, there is no  $y \in S$  such that f(y) = D, so f is not surjective.

So I guess that means that's not possible, and that in turn means that f is not surjective!

**Proof:** Let S be an arbitrary set. We will prove that  $|S| \neq |\wp(S)|$  by showing that there are no bijections from S to  $\wp(S)$ . To do so, choose an arbitrary function  $f: S \rightarrow \wp(S)$ . We will prove that f is not surjective.

Starting with *f*, we define the set

$$D = \{ x \in S \mid x \notin f(x) \}.$$
(1)

We will show that there is no  $y \in S$  such that f(y) = D. To do so, we proceed by contradiction. Suppose that there is some  $y \in S$  such that f(y) = D. By the definition of D, we know that

$$y \in D \text{ iff } y \notin f(y).$$
 (2)

By assumption, f(y) = D. Combined with (2), this tells us

$$y \in D \text{ iff } y \notin D.$$
 (3)



**Proof:** Let S be an arbitrary set. We will prove that  $|S| \neq |\wp(S)|$  by showing that there are no bijections from S to  $\wp(S)$ . To do so, choose an arbitrary function  $f: S \rightarrow \wp(S)$ . We will prove that f is not surjective.

Starting with *f*, we define the set

$$D = \{ x \in S \mid x \notin f(x) \}.$$
(1)

We will show that there is no  $y \in S$  such that f(y) = D. To do so, we proceed by contradiction. Suppose that there is some  $y \in S$  such that f(y) = D. By the definition of D, we know that

$$y \in D \text{ iff } y \notin f(y).$$
 (2)

By assumption, f(y) = D. Combined with (2), this tells us

$$y \in D \text{ iff } y \notin D.$$
 (3)



**Proof:** Let S be an arbitrary set. We will prove that  $|S| \neq |\wp(S)|$  by showing that there are no bijections from S to  $\wp(S)$ . To do so, choose an arbitrary function  $f: S \rightarrow \wp(S)$ . We will prove that f is not surjective.

Starting with *f*, we define the set

$$D = \{ x \in S \mid x \notin f(x) \}.$$
(1)

We will show that there is no  $y \in S$  such that f(y) = D. To do so, we proceed by contradiction. Suppose that there is some  $y \in S$  such that f(y) = D. By the definition of D, we know that

$$y \in D \text{ iff } y \notin f(y).$$
 (2)

By assumption, f(y) = D. Combined with (2), this tells us

$$y \in D \text{ iff } y \notin D.$$
 (3)



**Proof:** Let S be an arbitrary set. We will prove that  $|S| \neq |\wp(S)|$  by showing that there are no bijections from S to  $\wp(S)$ . To do so, choose an arbitrary function  $f: S \rightarrow \wp(S)$ . We will prove that f is not surjective.

Starting with *f*, we define the set

$$D = \{ x \in S \mid x \notin f(x) \}.$$
(1)

We will show that there is no  $y \in S$  such that f(y) = D. To do so, we proceed by contradiction. Suppose that there is some  $y \in S$  such that f(y) = D. By the definition of D, we know that

$$y \in D \text{ iff } y \notin f(y).$$
 (2)

By assumption, f(y) = D. Combined with (2), this tells us

$$y \in D \text{ iff } y \notin D.$$
 (3)



**Proof:** Let S be an arbitrary set. We will prove that  $|S| \neq |\wp(S)|$  by showing that there are no bijections from S to  $\wp(S)$ . To do so, choose an arbitrary function  $f: S \rightarrow \wp(S)$ . We will prove that f is not surjective.

Starting with *f*, we define the set

$$D = \{ x \in S \mid x \notin f(x) \}.$$
(1)

We will show that there is no  $y \in S$  such that f(y) = D. To do so, we proceed by contradiction. Suppose that there is some  $y \in S$  such that f(y) = D. By the definition of D, we know that

$$y \in D \text{ iff } y \notin f(y).$$
 (2)

By assumption, f(y) = D. Combined with (2), this tells us

$$y \in D \text{ iff } y \notin D.$$
 (3)







## $|S| \le |T|$ if there is an *injective* function $f: S \to T$

First, we came up with a rigorous definition of what |S| ≤ |T| means. This allows us to prove results about how set cardinalities rank against one another... something you (hypothetically speaking) might need for the upcoming problem set.



Second, we used that definition to come up with a definition for |S| < |T|, which lets us say that one set is strictly smaller than another.





## **Lemma 1:** If S is a set, then there's an injection from S to $\wp(S)$ .



**Lemma 2:** If  $f: S \to \wp(S)$  is a function, then it is not bijective.



**Proof:** Let S be an arbitrary set. We will prove that  $|S| \neq |\wp(S)|$  by showing that there are no bijections from S to  $\wp(S)$ . To do so, choose an arbitrary function  $f: S \rightarrow \wp(S)$ . We will prove that f is not surjective.

Starting with *f*, we define the set

$$D = \{ x \in S \mid x \notin f(x) \}.$$
(1)

We will show that there is no  $y \in S$  such that f(y) = D. To do so, we proceed by contradiction. Suppose that there is some  $y \in S$  such that f(y) = D. By the definition of D, we know that

$$y \in D \text{ iff } y \notin f(y).$$
 (2)

By assumption, f(y) = D. Combined with (2), this tells us

$$y \in D \text{ iff } y \notin D.$$
 (3)





